

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 223-23-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/652,042	08/31/2000	Nathan S. Caswell	YO9-99-498	3452
30743	7590 05/14/2004	•	EXAMINER	
WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON, P.C.			CAO, CHUN	
SUITE 340	EI HILLS KOAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, V	'A 20190			
			DATE MAILED: 05/14/2004	, /0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/652,042	CASWELL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Chun Cao	2115					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	I(S) FROM					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailing to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONI	imely filed by swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Oc	<u>ctober 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1 and 8 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) 2-7 and 9-17 is/are objected to.	r alastian requirement						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	•						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= : :	` ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• •					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	3 Action of form P1O-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		i)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		e N					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior							
application from the International Bureau		eu iii iiiis ivalionai Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.					
	·						
Attachment(s) /	-						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	, <u> </u>						

FINAL REJECTION

1. Claims 1-17 are presented for examination.

- 2. The text of those applicable section of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action.
- 3. Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lynch (Lynch), US Patent no. 5,515,524.

As per claim 1, Lynch teaches a method for validating a specific device configuration [computer-based system configuration] when a set of all valid configurations is unknown [col. 1, lines 33-51; col. 6, lines 28-33], comprising the steps of:

selecting a set of components [col. 1, lines 36-40] to be included in the device configuration, wherein the set of components [fig. 2; col. 4, lines 33-45] has a single base component [motherboard] having only sink interfaces [col. 1, lines 33-65; col. 5, line 66-col. 6, line 1];

defining an interface for each component, wherein each component is characterized as having a source or a sink interface [col. 6, lines 49-52] and properties associated with the interface [figs. 1, 2; col. 6, lines 28-46; col. 12, lines 14-18]; and

Lynch does not explicitly teach the matching property as claimed. However,

Lynch in the same patent teaches the connections between components having source
and sink interfaces with same physical type of interfaces [col. 12, lines 32-36, 49-52].

Inherently, the connections between components base on same physical type of

Art Unit: 2115

interfaces should have the interface properties matching in order to properly connect the components.

As per claim 8, Lynch teaches of validating connections between components [col. 12, lines 54-61].

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 2-7 and 9-17 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 10/9/2003 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.
- 6. In the remarks, applicants argued in substance that Lynch fails to teach of selecting a set of components to be included in the device configuration, wherein the set of components has a single base component having only sink interfaces.
- 7. As to this point, Lynch clearly teach the limitations as stated in claim 1, see rejection in claim 1 above in paragraph 3.
- 8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2115

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chun Cao at (703) 308-6106. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am - 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by phone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Thomas Lee can be reached at (703) 305-9717. The fax number for this Art Unit is following: Official (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5631.

Application/Control Number: 09/652,042

Art Unit: 2115

2,042 Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chun Cao

May 4, 2004

THOMAS LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100